

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/764,907	LUMLEY ET AL.
	Examiner G. Nagesh Rao	Art Unit 1722

All Participants: _____ Status of Application: _____

(1) G. Nagesh Rao. (3) _____.

(2) James Brown. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2006 Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 and ODP

Claims discussed:

1-9

Prior art documents discussed:

Lumley US Patent No. 6,716,015

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 12/18/06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated to Mr. Brown that the current after final claims put the case in condition for allowance. However as amended they created a potential ODP with US Patent No. 6,716,015. Examiner advised applicant to file a TD in order to overcome the ODP rejection.


12/8/06